|   |                    | DOCUMENT  |
|---|--------------------|---|
| Case 1:07-cv-06817-HB                                     | Document 9         | Filed 01/17/2 PERECTRONICALLY                                   |
| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR                               |                    | DOC #:  |
| SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YO                               | ORK                | DATE FILED: 1 17  |
|   |                    |   |
| BILLY GRANTHAM,   |                    |   |
|   | Disintiff          | Index No.:<br>07 CV 6816  |
|   | Plaintiff,         | 07 CV 6816  |
| -against-   |                    | PROPOSED PRETRIAL   |
| PRICEOU AGERG COLUBB COL                                  | AD A NIX           | SCHEDULING ORDER FOR CONSOLIDATED CASES                         |
| BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COM                                  | TANI,              | CONSCERNATED CASES  |
|   | Defendant.         |   |
|   |                    |   |
| CAROL ANN BEKEMEYER,                                      |                    | X   |
| ,   |                    | Index No.:  |
|   | Plaintiff,         | 07 CV 6817  |
| -against-   |                    |   |
| _   |                    | ·   |
| BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COM                                  | IPANY,             |   |
|   | Defendant.         | •   |
|   | <b></b>            | X   |
|   |                    |   |
| APPEARANCES:  |                    |   |
| Plaintiff(s) by: Andrew St.                               | <u>John</u>        |   |
| Defendant(s) by: Matthew Mc                               | Cauley             |   |
| HAROLD BAER, JR., DISTRIC                                 | T JUDGE:           |   |
| Do the parties cons                                       | ent to proceed be  | fore a United States Magistrate for all                         |
| purposes, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 6                       |                    |   |
| Yes_  | No <u>X</u>        |   |
| Pursuant to Rule 16 an initial pretrial conference on not |                    | Rules of Civil Procedure, after holding is hereby ordered that: |
| Except under circum                                       | nstances agreed to | by the Court:   |
| 1. This case i  | is added to the    | FEBRUARY 2009 Trailing Trial                                    |



Jury X. Non-Jury Estimated number of trial days is 21. Counsel should not make any other commitments during this month. As a general rule, all cases will be tried within a reasonable time from the date of this pretrial conference based on the complexity of the case.

## 2. No additional parties may be joined after JULY 31, 2008.

New parties shall be bound by the deadlines included in this Pretrial Scheduling Order. If new parties are joined, the party joining them shall forward to them a copy of this Pretrial Scheduling Order and provide them with access to all previously taken discovery. Should this pose a seemingly insurmountable problem, call Chambers.

- No additional causes of action or defenses may be asserted after JULY 31, 2008.
- Discovery: All discovery, except for expert discovery, shall be commenced in time to be completed by JUNE 2, 2008. Disclosure of expert testimony, if any, will be made at least 45 days before the agreed to trial month. Evidence intended to contradict or rebut the subject matter of the expert testimony will be submitted within 21 calendar days after the disclosure made by the other party, subject to further order of this Court. As the Court rarely grants extensions, any delays or disputes in the taking of discovery should be reported to the Court immediately.

If applicable, decisions with respect to disclosure and discovery of electronically stored information, along with privilege issues related to that information, shall be provided to the Court within 10 days of this Order.

Motions: No party may make a dispositive motion returnable after OCTOBER 15, 2008. Either party may request (and will be given a date by Chambers) for oral

argument. The above date is the date by which any motion shall be fully briefed (i.e., moving, opposition and reply papers) and a courtesy copy delivered to Chambers.

In deciding the last date to submit fully briefed motions and your agreed to trial month, keep in mind that the Court requires at least 60 days to decide dispositive motions.

- Joint Pretrial Order: A joint pretrial order shall, unless waived by the Court, be submitted by **DECEMBER 15, 2008**. The pretrial order shall conform to the Court's Individual Practice and Rules, Counsel may inquire of Chambers with respect to the filing date(s) for requests to charge, proposed voir dire, and motions in limine, but in no event are they to be submitted less than five (5) business days (fully briefed) before the date set for trial.
- The law clerk assigned to this case is **NATALIE REY**, to whom all 7. correspondence should be directed.
- Upon request to Chambers by either side, the Court will schedule and conduct a settlement conference and/or mediation. The Court will also, upon request,

facilitate mediation under the Court Mediation Program or a settlement conference before your Magistrate Judge. In the case of a mediation to be conducted by the Court, all parties must bring their respective clients to the mediation. Keep in mind, closure, for the most part, is accomplished in direct proportion to how early in the litigation the mediation occurs. Any ADR procedure must occur within the framework of this order.

- 9. Whenever a case is resolved, the parties must submit an Order of Discontinuance, signed by all parties before the case will be removed from the trial calendar. When the parties settle within forty-eight hours of trial or the filing of a dispositive motion, they <u>must</u> notify the Court immediately of such settlement, and fax to the Court no less than thirty-six hours prior to their planned appearance, an Order of Discontinuance (copy attached), signed by all parties.
- 10. The parties' signatures below represent their understanding and agreement that this schedule is final and binding upon them unless the Court concludes that extraordinary circumstances warrant an extension with respect to one or more than one of the scheduled dates.

For Plaintiff

rollitelendant

SO ORDERED.

DATED:

New York, New York

HAROLD BAER, JR.

United States District Judge